Work or sleep? Honeybee foragers opportunistically nap during the day when forage is not available
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Shifts in work schedules test humans’ capacity to be flexible in the timing of both work and sleep. Honeybee, Apis mellifera, foragers also shift their work schedules, but how flexible they are in the timing of sleep as they shift the timing of work is unknown, despite the importance of colony-level plasticity in the face of a changing environment. We hypothesized that sleep schedules of foragers are not fixed and instead vary depending on the time when food is available. We trained bees to visit a food source made available for several hours in the early morning (AM) or several hours in the late afternoon (PM), then monitored their sleep behaviour for 24 h after training, specifically comparing their sleep during the AM and PM periods previously designated as training periods. Following AM training, honeybee foragers slept more during the afternoon than during the morning, but following PM training, the same bees ‘slept in’ the next morning, and so slept more in the morning than in the afternoon. Although foragers did not change the total amount of time devoted to each of their behaviours (including sleep), the timing of their sleep did change. Thus, plasticity in timing of foraging was matched by plasticity in timing of sleep. The apparent correlation between the timing patterns of foraging and sleeping demonstrates temporal plasticity of sleep under ecologically realistic conditions in an invertebrate. Testing how shift work affects the health and performance of honeybees may shed light on the role of sleep in a nonhuman social animal.
be assayed when bees are either inside or outside of comb cells. Sometimes bees sleep for extended periods with their antennae immobile, and during this time their thresholds of response to disturbance are elevated. Bees showing these behavioural traits have been described as being in a state of deep sleep (Kaiser 1988).

If sleep is important for honeybees, as is suggested by the results of sleep deprivation studies (Sauer et al. 2004; Hussaini et al. 2009; Klein et al. 2010), then the diurnally active foragers in a colony should sleep at night. Like humans, foragers do so (Kaiser 1988; Sauer et al. 2003; Eban-Rothschild & Bloch 2008; Klein et al. 2008). Foragers have strong circadian tendencies to be inactive at night (Lindauer 1952; Spangler 1972; Kaiser & Steiner-Kaiser 1983; Moore et al. 1998; Toma et al. 2000; Moore 2001), but they also can be inactive during the day. Daytime quiescence by foragers has been reported by Körner (1939), von Frisch (1940, 1967), Lindauer (1952) and Moore et al. (1989), but this quiescence was not shown to be sleep. Is this daytime quiescence in foragers opportunistic napping?

Foraging need not be a day-long pursuit, and is often driven by a stunningly precise anticipatory Zeitgedächtnis, or time sense (Beling 1929; Koltermann 1971; Moore et al. 1989). Unlike their younger siblings (Moore et al. 1998), foragers can perform tasks in a manner that resembles shift work, leaving time in the day available for rest. Honeybees are highly flexible in the timing of foraging (Beling 1929; Wahl 1932, 1933; Moore & Rankin 1983; Moore et al. 1989), and although this temporal flexibility in foraging is well understood to depend on changes in resource supply and demand (reviewed in von Frisch 1967, pp. 253–255), nothing is known about how foragers change the timing of their sleep in response to changes in the timing of their foraging.

Given honeybees’ temporal flexibility in foraging and given their need for sleep, we hypothesized that honeybee foragers can adjust the timing of their sleep in relation to the timing of their work. Based on this hypothesis, we predicted that foragers trained to exploit an early morning resource would show an earlier onset of sleep than foragers trained to exploit a late afternoon resource. Foragers with strong circadian tendencies to be inactive at night (Lindauer 1952; Spangler 1972; Kaiser & Steiner-Kaiser 1983; Moore et al. 1998; Toma et al. 2000; Moore 2001), but they also can be inactive during the day. Daytime quiescence by foragers has been reported by Körner (1939), von Frisch (1940, 1967), Lindauer (1952) and Moore et al. (1989), but this quiescence was not shown to be sleep. Is this daytime quiescence in foragers opportunistic napping?

Foraging need not be a day-long pursuit, and is often driven by a stunningly precise anticipatory Zeitgedächtnis, or time sense (Beling 1929; Koltermann 1971; Moore et al. 1989). Unlike their younger siblings (Moore et al. 1998), foragers can perform tasks in a manner that resembles shift work, leaving time in the day available for rest. Honeybees are highly flexible in the timing of foraging (Beling 1929; Wahl 1932, 1933; Moore & Rankin 1983; Moore et al. 1989), and although this temporal flexibility in foraging is well understood to depend on changes in resource supply and demand (reviewed in von Frisch 1967, pp. 253–255), nothing is known about how foragers change the timing of their sleep in response to changes in the timing of their foraging.

Given honeybees’ temporal flexibility in foraging and given their need for sleep, we hypothesized that honeybee foragers can adjust the timing of their sleep in relation to the timing of their work. Based on this hypothesis, we predicted that foragers trained to exploit an early morning resource would show an earlier onset of sleep than foragers trained to exploit a late afternoon resource. Likewise, we predicted that foragers trained to exploit a resource late in the afternoon (with insufficient daytime after foraging to experience earlier sleep onset) would rouse themselves later in the morning (i.e. would ‘sleep in’) than would foragers trained to exploit an early morning resource. If, however, foragers cannot adjust the timing of sleep in relation to the timing of work, we predicted that foragers would show the same timing of sleep if they were trained to forage early or late in the day.

METHODS

Study Site and Subjects

We transported two small colonies of honeybees (Colony 1: Apis mellifera carnica Pollman, 1879; queen breeder: Strachan Apiaries, Yuba City, CA, U.S.A.; Colony 2: Apis mellifera ligustica Spinola, 1806; queen breeder: C. F. Koehnen and Sons, Glenn, CA, U.S.A.) to Cranberry Lake Biological Station in the Adirondack State Park (NY, U.S.A., 44°09’ N, 74°48’ W). We worked with one colony at a time, installing each one (ca. 2500 bees) in a two-frame observation hive, suspended from the ceiling of a wooden hut (Seeley 1995). Colonies 1 and 2 were set up on 15 July and 9 August 2006, respectively (Fig. 1a).

General Methods

On the day after setting up each colony, we trained foragers to a feeder filled with a 2.5 M sucrose solution scented with anise. During training and as foragers arrived at the feeder, we marked the foragers with dry pigments mixed in shellac, painting unique colour combinations on the dorsal side of each thorax (mesosoma) and abdomen (metasoma) (Fig. 1b, c). For the next 2 days, we provided food in the feeder only in the early morning (AM training and AM testing days; 0645–0900 hours for Colony 1 and 0645–1000 hours for Colony 2). Beginning at 0600 hours on the AM testing day, B.A.K. recorded each bee’s behaviour within the hive every 30 min for 24 h, scanning both sides of the observation hive in a consistent manner. For 2 days following the AM testing day, we provided food in the feeder only in the late afternoon (PM training and PM testing days; 1600–1900 hours). We blocked the hive entrance with steel screening until 1530 hours on these 2 days to eliminate the risk of losing the AM-trained bees to alternative, natural food sources in the morning. B.A.K. re-examined behaviours of the same individual bees across a second 24 h period, beginning at 1600 hours on the PM testing day, again examining bees every 30 min for 24 h (Fig. 2). The difference in training/testing duration between AM and PM periods in Colony 1 (2.25 h versus 3 h) was the result of a trade-off between wishing to achieve maximal temporal separation between AM and PM periods and recording enough activity at the feeder during AM and PM training periods (AM training/testing of Colony 2 lasted an additional hour due to a later onset of activity in the morning relative to Colony 1) (Fig. 3). No break was granted between AM training and PM training days so as to maximize our sample size of foragers by minimizing the number of natural deaths occurring within the time span of the experiment.

For each colony, we trained the foragers to a feeder 18 m from the wooden hut. We positioned the feeder close to the hive to encourage attendance by our marked bees. To stimulate foraging, we squirted approximately 3 ml of the scented solution into the top of the hive and into the entrance tunnel every day at the beginning of the resource availability period (0600 hours or 1600 hours). We used the diffused light entering the hut through its translucent roof to observe the bees during the day, and we used red-filtered lights to watch them at night. We used red lights at night because bees have low sensitivity to red light (von Frisch 1967; Dustmann & Geffcken 2000). We defined ‘night’ as 2200–0600 hours, which roughly corresponded to the time between dusk and dawn. We defined ‘morning’ and ‘afternoon’ by the AM training/testing and the PM training/testing periods, above. We did not include a control population of foragers (ones not trained to a feeder, but observed for 24 h) because we would not have known when their (natural) food sources would be available, and hence we would not have been able to meaningfully compare their sleep patterns to those of our treatment bees.

Behaviours Recorded

We recorded 17 distinct behaviours in the hive, and based on our records we determined when each bee was awake or asleep. A bee was awake when she groomed herself or groomed another bee, walked, fanned, turned or lifted her body, performed trophallaxis (exchange of fluid), danced, or was active inside a comb cell (rotated or moved her body rhythmically while deep inside a cell; Sakagami 1953). Less obvious states of wakefulness included relative immobility (defined below) while chewing, being groomed, or an alert stance (body lifted above substrate with antennae extended). Finally, each forager was assumed to be awake when she was outside of the hive. An awake honeybee shows relatively continuous respiratory movements of her metasoma, observable when she is either inside or outside a comb cell. Continuous respiratory movements are contrasted with discontinuous respiration, which typically features pauses of at least 10 s between respiratory pulses of the metasoma, as revealed by recordings distinguishing breathing versus resting bees made by Kleinhenz et al. (2003). We recorded a bee as asleep if she showed relative
immobility while discontinuously ventilating, being careful to examine a bee for a minimum of 10 uninterrupted seconds to confirm discontinuous ventilation. For bees outside cells, relative immobility usually takes the form of a bee standing motionless but with occasional twitches of dangling tarsi or legs or, less commonly, extensions of the proboscis. The relative immobility of bees sleeping outside of cells is indistinguishable from that of bees sleeping inside cells (B. A. Klein & M. K. Busby, unpublished data). For bees sleeping inside a cell, only discontinuous motions of the posterior end of the bee and possible twitching of her hind tarsi are visible. For bees sleeping outside cells, the state of deep sleep (see Introduction) can be recognized in bees showing relative immobility, discontinuous ventilation and immobile antennae. Confirmation of antennal immobility required an average minimum of 3–5 s of observation. If a bee was obscured from view, her behavioural state was not recorded. Deep sleep could not be identified in honeybees inside cells.

**Statistical Tests**

Our data on the percentage of observations that each forager was seen asleep during each census period were not normally distributed, so we applied the Wilcoxon two-sample test to compare the percentage of observations of AM-trained bees that were asleep during the morning period versus during the afternoon period on the AM testing day, and to compare the percentage of
observations of PM-trained bees that were asleep during the afternoon period versus the morning period on the PM testing day. Because we recorded from most of the bees during both the AM and PM periods, we also conducted a Wilcoxon signed-ranks test on the subset of bees that were sampled twice. In addition to comparing the timing of sleep, above, we applied the Wilcoxon two-sample test to compare total observations devoted to individual wakeful and sleep behaviours during the 24 h AM and PM testing periods.

For every test we used the mean value of the percentage observations of sleep per bee per treatment period. Excluded from analyses were bees for which we had behavioural records for three or fewer census periods (<6.25% of 48 census periods, with census periods occurring every 30 min for 24 h). The percentage of unobservable periods did not differ significantly between AM bees and PM bees either in Colony 1 (32.2 ± 4.0% and 21.4 ± 3.8%) or in Colony 2 (45.5 ± 2.5% and 38.3 ± 3.5%). All analyses in the text were performed with the JMP (version 8.0; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, U.S.A.) computer package. Additional analyses in the

**Figure 3.** Mean percentage of observations that AM- and PM-trained bees were observed (a, b) asleep and, more specifically, in (c, d) deep sleep, averaged across bees (N = 16 bees in Colony 1, 21 bees in Colony 2) for each 30 min interval over a 24 h testing period. Grey backdrop signifies night-time. Boxes with solid outlines: time slot identical to the period during which bees had been previously trained (AM for AM-trained bees, PM for PM-trained bees); boxes with dashed outlines: time slot during the other period of the day (PM for AM-trained bees, AM for PM-trained bees). Width of boxes indicates duration of training/testing periods; differences in training/testing durations result from a trade-off between our desire to achieve maximal temporal separation between AM and PM periods and our ability to record enough activity at the feeder during AM and PM training periods. AM-trained bees from both colonies (a) slept more and (c) showed more deep sleep in the afternoon (boxes with dashed outlines) than in the morning (boxes with solid outlines). Conversely, PM-trained bees from both colonies (b) slept more and (d) showed more deep sleep in the morning (boxes with dashed outlines) than in the afternoon (boxes with solid outlines).
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The two most intriguing findings of this study are that honeybee foragers can engage in considerable sleep during the day, and that
they adjust the timing of their daytime sleep in relation to the timing of available forage. Previous studies of honeybee sleep have invariably studied forager sleep as primarily a night-time phenomenon (Kaiser 1988; Sauer et al. 2003; Eban-Rothschild & Bloch 2008; Klein et al. 2008), but it is now clear that this is not the full story. Karl von Frisch made the general observation that foragers ‘doze undisturbed’ (von Frisch 1967, page 255) during the day when not foraging and that they form a ‘verschlafene Gesellschaft’ (sleeping society) between harvesting times (von Frisch 1940). Also, Klein et al. (2008) reported that foragers sleep 13–17% of the time during the day and 36–56% of the time during the night. The present study builds on these previous reports by rigorously confirming the phenomenon of daytime sleep and by showing how it is timed in relation to a forager’s work schedule. The foragers that we observed showed virtually no sleep when forage was available, but did sleep off and on throughout the rest of the day (Fig. 3). Evidently, the timing of daytime sleep by foragers is determined at least in part by one specific extrinsic factor: when forage is available. It remains unknown, however, how foragers sense when there is a lack of available forage, hence when they can go to sleep. In this regard, it would be interesting to closely observe foragers trained to a feeder that provides food for just a few hours each day and see how they switch from working to sleeping and, eventually, from sleeping back to working.

Also unknown is the function of this seemingly opportunistic, daytime sleep. Sleep may serve as a default noninterference behaviour for foragers during the day and may provide no extra benefits. Siegel (2009) proposed that sleep may serve to increase a behaviour’s efficiency by regulating its timing and by reducing energy expenditure. Sleep decreases one’s metabolic rate and has long been functionally linked with energy conservation (Berger & Phillips 1995). Honeybee foragers showing small or no movements have lower metabolic rates than more active bees in the hive (Stabentheiner et al. 2003) and daytime sleep may provide a means of minimizing the costs of foraging, by lowering a forager’s energy expenditure when there is no forage to collect. Another possible function of daytime sleep in foragers is that it serves to maximize the benefits of foraging, perhaps by helping the bees consolidate their memories of the location, odour and other traits of the flowers that they have recently exploited. Sleep promotes consolidation of memories in mammals (Walker & Stickgold 2006; Diekelmann & Born 2010), and sleep in the fruit fly, Drosophila (Sophophora) melanogaster, may be regulated by its learning and memory brain centres (Pitman et al. 2006), so it is quite possible that honeybees benefit from sleep-promoting memory consolidation in a manner similar to that experienced by other animals.

The present study demonstrates that honeybee foragers can modify the timing of their sleep during the day in response to a change in the timing of profitable work. This is analogous to the way that humans employed in some organizations must shift their sleep schedules to accommodate shifts in their work schedules. Shift work in humans can result in a wide array of health and performance problems, and naps show evidence of improving alertness and performance (Lovato et al. 2009). Is daytime sleep by honeybee foragers a means of coping with adverse effects of shift work? By examining how honeybees perform when challenged by more extreme shift work schedules analogous to those experienced by some humans, it may be possible to assess costs associated with shift work in honeybees. It is already known that Apis mellifera adansonii (reviewed by Fletcher 1978) and A. dorsata (Dyer 1985) forage on moonlit nights, and that Africanized and European honeybees actively defend their nests at night (Eischen et al. 1986). Do such actions constitute shift work, and are there similarities across social species in how individuals cope with changing work schedules by changing their sleep schedules? The study of honeybee foragers offers opportunities to investigate the costs and benefits of shift work in nonhuman societies.
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**Supplementary Material**

To further test for an overall treatment effect of timing of forage availability on timing of daytime sleep, we performed separate chi-square tests on each treatment and summed the chi-square statistics (if $g$ equals the number of chi-square tests, their sum is approximately normal with mean 0 and standard deviation $\sqrt{g}$). The relation can be used to calculate a $Z$ score for the overall model:

$$Z = \sum_{i=1}^{g} \frac{\sqrt{X_i^2}}{\sqrt{g}}$$

(S1)

The overall treatment effect was confirmed (AM: $Z = 5.89$, $P < 0.00001$; PM: $Z = 3.88$, $P = 0.0001$; overall: $Z = 6.91$, $P < 0.00001$).

Most bees were both AM- and PM-trained, so to address the assumption of independence of data, we performed a simulation that randomly subsampled our data by randomly selecting half of the bees trained during both periods as AM-trained and the other half as PM-trained for each iteration. The simulation, programmed in R (R Development Core Team 2005), sampled bees without replacement for 1000 iterations. The simulation tested the probability that the treatment effect of timing of forage availability on timing of daytime sleep was due to repeated measures on the same bee, and it yielded the same conclusions in a large majority of iterations for three of the treatment groups (97%, 98% and 100% of the iterations), but only in a minority of iterations for the fourth group (16% of the iterations) because of insufficient sample size.
Table S1

Mean ±SE percentage of observations that bees trained to a morning food resource (AM training) or an afternoon food resource (PM training) spent asleep (total sleep), either outside of cells in deep sleep or nondeep sleep (not pictured), or inside cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Colony 1 (% observations)</th>
<th>Colony 2 (% observations)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Morning</td>
<td>Afternoon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sleep</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM training</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.0 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM training</td>
<td>21.4 ± 4.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep sleep</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM training</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM training</td>
<td>9.9 ± 3.7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep inside cells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM training</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM training</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Highlighted values indicate periods during which daytime sleep occurred in the absence of a food resource. All other values indicate periods during which sleep occurred in the presence of resource availability. The behaviours of bees obscured from view were not recorded.

References